

**Student Name**

**Institution Name**

## **Should the Legal Drinking Age Be Lowered?**

The question of whether the legal drinking age should be lowered has sparked heated debates among policymakers, experts, and the general public. Advocates for lowering the drinking age argue that it would promote responsible drinking behavior, reduce binge drinking, and allow young adults to make informed decisions about alcohol consumption. Opponents, however, contend that a higher drinking age helps protect the health and safety of young individuals, reducing alcohol-related accidents and negative consequences. This argumentative essay aims to explore both sides of the issue and provide a comprehensive analysis of the potential consequences of lowering the legal drinking age, supported by relevant research and expert opinions.

### **Advantages of Lowering the Drinking Age**

Proponents of lowering the legal drinking age often cite the potential benefits of promoting responsible alcohol consumption among young adults. They argue that allowing individuals to legally drink at a younger age would enable them to learn about alcohol in controlled environments, leading to better decision-making when it comes to drinking (O'Malley & Wagenaar, 1991). In countries with lower drinking ages, such as European nations, there is evidence of lower rates of binge drinking and alcohol-related accidents among young adults (Hingson et al., 2009).

Lowering the drinking age could also foster a sense of responsibility and accountability among young adults. Proponents argue that if individuals are legally allowed to drink at an earlier age, they may be more likely to seek guidance on safe and moderate drinking practices, rather than resorting to secretive and risky behaviors (Hanson & Engs, 2016).

### **Arguments Against Lowering the Drinking Age**

Opponents of lowering the drinking age emphasize the potential negative consequences, particularly concerning public health and safety. Research consistently shows that the brain continues to develop until the mid-20s, and alcohol consumption during this developmental phase can have detrimental effects on cognitive functions and decision-making (Squeglia et al., 2014). Raising the drinking age helps protect young individuals from the potential harm associated with alcohol-related accidents, injuries, and impaired judgment.

Furthermore, opponents argue that a higher drinking age acts as a deterrent for alcohol consumption among teenagers and reduces the prevalence of underage drinking. The legal drinking age serves as a clear boundary, discouraging young individuals from experimenting with alcohol and delaying their initiation into drinking (Hingson et al., 2017).

### **The Role of Enforcement and Education**

Regardless of whether the legal drinking age is lowered or maintained, the effectiveness of alcohol policies depends on their enforcement and the level of education provided to young individuals. Proponents of lowering the drinking age emphasize the importance of educating young adults about responsible alcohol consumption and the potential risks associated with excessive drinking. Comprehensive educational campaigns, coupled with stringent enforcement of alcohol-related laws, can

help mitigate some of the negative consequences of alcohol consumption among young individuals (DeJong & Blanchette, 2014).

### **Conclusion**

The question of whether the legal drinking age should be lowered is complex and multifaceted, with valid arguments on both sides. Advocates for lowering the drinking age emphasize the potential benefits of promoting responsible behavior and reducing binge drinking among young adults. On the other hand, opponents stress the importance of protecting the health and safety of young individuals by delaying their access to alcohol until their brains have matured.

Ultimately, the decision to lower the legal drinking age should be made with careful consideration of both the potential benefits and risks. Whichever approach is taken, it is crucial to implement comprehensive education programs and enforce alcohol-related laws to ensure that young individuals have the knowledge and resources they need to make informed decisions about alcohol consumption.

### **References**

DeJong, W., & Blanchette, J. (2014). Case closed: Research evidence on the positive public health impact of the age 21 minimum legal drinking age in the United States. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 75(2), 261-270.

Hanson, D. J., & Engs, R. C. (2016). Lowering the Drinking Age: A Discussion of the Risks and Benefits. Engs R.C.

Hingson, R. W., Heeren, T., Winter, M. R., & Wechsler, H. (2009). Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among US college students ages 18–24: Changes from 1998 to 2001. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 26, 259-279.

Hingson, R. W., Zha, W., & Weitzman, E. R. (2017). Magnitude of and trends in alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among US college students ages 18-24, 1998-2014. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 171(9), 896-903.

O'Malley, P. M., & Wagenaar, A. C. (1991). Effects of minimum drinking age laws on alcohol use, related behaviors, and traffic crash involvement among American youth: 1976–1987. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 52(5), 478-491.

Squeglia, L. M., Jacobus, J., & Tapert, S. F. (2014). The effect of alcohol use on human adolescent brain structures and systems. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, 125, 501-510.